

## JUTA'S ADVANCE NOTIFICATION SERVICE

### **MARCH2018**

Dear South African Law Reports and Criminal Law Reports subscriber

Herewith the cases in the March 2018 law reports

### JUDGMENTS OF INTEREST IN THE MARCH 2018 EDITIONS OF THE SALR AND SACR LAW REPORTS. SEE ALSO, FURTHER BELOW, THE TABLE OF CASES FOR THE BOTSWANA LAW REPORTS 2014(2).

• Click on the case name to download the original judgment.

### SOUTH AFRICAN LAW REPORTS

### Provocation as a defense to the actio de feris

The *actio de feris* imposes strict liability on the owner of wild or dangerous animals brought on or into a public place, or a place to which members of the public have access to, for damages caused by such animals. Here the plaintiff relied on the *actio* to claim damages for injuries he sustained when, visiting the defendant's farm, he fell down in the process of running away to escape an attack by defendant's 'undomesticated' ostrich. The Supreme Court of Appeal considered the owner's defense that the plaintiff had provoked the attack. *Van der Westhuizen v Burger* 2018 (2) SA 87 (SCA).

### State organs reviewing their own decisions: PAJA or legality review?

The Constitutional Court confirmed that an organ of state must bring a legality review when seeking to have its own decisions reviewed; it could not use the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000 (PAJA). This was because PAJA was only available to private parties; it was enacted to give effect to a private citizen's constitutional right to just administrative action. *State Information Technology Agency Soc Ltd v Gijima Holdings (Pty) Ltd* 2018 (2) SA 23 (CC).

### Equality for spouses in pre-Recognition Act polygamous customary marriages

At customary law the rights of ownership and control of marital property vest in the husband. The Recognition of Customary Marriages Act 120 of 1998 introduces equality in status between husbands and wives in customary marriages, but s 7(1) the Act provides that '(t)he proprietary consequences of customary marriages entered into before the commencement of this Act continue to be governed by customary law'. In *Gumede* the Constitutional Court held s 7(1) to be constitutionally invalid in so far as it related to monogamous customary marriages. In this case the Constitutional Court extended the finding of constitutional invalidity to pre-Recognition Act polygamous customary marriages. *Ramuhovhi and Others v President of the Republic of South Africa and Others* 2018 (2) SA 1 (CC).

### SOUTH AFRICAN CRIMINAL LAW REPORTS

#### Section 105 A plea bargain agreements: consensus required

The accused (on charges from murder and rape) applied for an order compelling the state to accept a plea of guilty on charge of culpable homicide, and to order that his prosecution on the original charges be permanently stayed. This on the basis of a draft agreement made during plea bargain negotiations, which the accused claimed constituted a binding plea bargain agreement under s 105A of the Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977. The agreement was however not concluded as no consensus was reached. The court held that this was fatal to the application. S v Phillips 2018 (1) SACR 284 (WCC).

### Scope of protection orders: what can be ordered?

In addition to a protection order, an order was sought under s 7 (2) of the Domestic Violence Act 116 of 1998 that certain digital equipment of the respondent be handed over to the sherriff so that all sensitive and intimate material offending the complainant's right to privacy could be removed In an appeal against the court a quo's refusal of the latter relief, it was held that despite the section relied on not specifically empowering a court to grant such and order, the court was obliged to fashion relief that would adequately address the problem. It directed the respondent to hand over to the sherriff all his digital devices. *KS v AM* 2018 (1) SACR 240 (GJ).

### **Request by National Director of Public Prosecutions to vacate office**

Section 12(8)(a) of the National Prosecuting Authority Act 32 of 1998 provides that the National Director of Public Prosecutions may request to vacate his office. One of the issues that was raised in this case was what constitutes such a request. This where a settlement agreement was reached whereby the NDPP left office against payment of some R 17 357 233. It was held that the request was required to emanate from the NDPP and not from persuasion by payment of a greater sum of money than permitted by law. *Corruption Watch (RF) NPC and Another v President of the Republic of South Africa and Others* 2018 (1) SACR 317 (GP)

### WE WELCOME YOUR FEEDBACK

Please send any comments or queries to <u>lawreports@juta.co.za</u>.

Kind Regards

### The Juta Law Reports Team

## **SOUTH AFRICAN LAW REPORTS**

## **MARCH 2018**

## **TABLE OF CASES**

- Ramuhovhi and Others v President of the Republic of South Africa and Others 2018 (2) SA 1 (CC)
- State Information Technology Agency Soc Ltd v Gijima Holdings (Pty) Ltd 2018 (2) SA 23 (CC)
- Long Beach Home Owners Association v Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries and Another 2018 (2) SA 42 (SCA)
- Mostert NO v Registrar of Pension Funds and Others 2018 (2) SA 53 (SCA)
- Road Accident Fund v Mohohlo 2018 (2) SA 65 (SCA)
- Stedall and Another v Aspeling and Another 2018 (2) SA 75 (SCA)
- Van der Westhuizen v Burger 2018 (2) SA 87 (SCA)
- President of the Republic of South Africa v Public Protector and Others 2018 (2) SA 100 (GP)
- South African Human Rights Commission v Qwelane 2018 (2) SA 149 (GJ)
- Afriforum and Another v University of the Free State 2018 (2) SA 185 (CC)
- Fischer v Unlawful Occupiers and Others 2018 (2) SA 228 (WCC)
- United Manganese of Kalahari (Pty) Ltd v Commissioner, South African Revenue Service 2018 (2) SA 275 (GP)
- Mvoko v South African Broadcasting Corporation Soc Ltd 2018 (2) SA 291 (SCA)
- Minister of Defence and Military Veterans and Another v Mamasedi 2018 (2) SA 305 (SCA)
- Mohamed's Leisure Holdings (Pty) Ltd v Southern Sun Hotel Interests (Pty) Ltd 2018 (2) SA 314 (SCA)

## **FLYNOTES**

# RAMUHOVHI AND OTHERS v PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA AND OTHERS (CC)

NKABINDE ADCJ, CAMERON J, FRONEMAN J, JAFTA J, KHAMPEPE J, MADLANGA J, MHLANTLA J, MOJAPELO AJ, PRETORIUS AJ and ZONDO J 2017 NOVEMBER 30 [2017] ZACC 41

**Constitutional law**—Legislation—Validity—Recognition of Customary Marriages Act 120 of 1998, s 7(1)—Confirmation of High Court order of constitutional invalidity to extent it relating to polygamous customary marriages—Interim relief differing from High Court's ordered.

**Customary law**—Customary marriage—Polygamous customary marriages—Proprietary consequences of pre-Recognition Act on polygamous customary marriages—Constitutional invalidity of s 7(1) of Recognition Act to extent it relating to polygamous customary marriages—Applicable interim regime—Recognition of Customary Marriages Act 120 of 1998, s 7(1).

### STATE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AGENCY SOC LTD v GIJIMA HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD (CC)

MOGOENG CJ, NKABINDE ADCJ, CAMERON J, FRONEMAN J, JAFTA J, KHAMPEPE J, MADLANGA J, MHLANTLA J, MOJAPELO AJ, PRETORIUS AJ and ZONDO J 2017 NOVEMBER 14 [2017] ZACC 40

**Administrative law**—Administrative action—Review—Organs of state may not use PAJA to review their own decisions—Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000.

**Review**—Grounds—Legality—Organs of state may bring legality reviews of their own decisions.

## LONG BEACH HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION v DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES AND ANOTHER (SCA)

SHONGWE AP, SALDULKER JA, SWAIN JA, MATHOPO JA and SCHIPPERS AJA 2017 SEPTEMBER 22 [2017] ZASCA 122

**Environmental law**—Forests—When destruction of indigenous trees amounting to destruction of natural forest—National Forests Act 84 of 1998, ss 3(3)(*a*) and 7(4).

### MOSTERT NO v REGISTRAR OF PENSION FUNDS AND OTHERS (SCA)

LEWIS JA, SALDULKER JA, TSOKA AJA, GORVEN AJA and PLOOS VAN AMSTEL AJA 2017 SEPTEMBER 15 [2017] ZASCA 108

**Administrative law**—Administrative action—Review—Application—Delay in bringing application—Whether court may mero motu raise issue of failure of applicant to bring review within 180 days—Where apparent from papers that proceedings not instituted within period of 180 days, court entitled mero motu to raise point, as such delay unreasonable per se and court not having power to entertain review—Applicant to be given opportunity to deliver further affidavit to explain delay, or apply for condonation—Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000, s 7(1).

**Administrative law**—Administrative action—Review—Application—Delay in bringing application—Whether respondent may in argument raise issue of failure of applicant to bring review within 180 days, where not having raised point in pleadings—Respondent entitled to do so, where delay apparent from applicant's papers, and no application for condonation, because court having no power to entertain review—Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000, s 7(1).

**Administrative law**—Administrative action—Review—Application—When to be brought— From when 180-day time limit starts running—Review of promulgation of regulation—180-day period to run from when public at large might reasonably be expected to have become aware of promulgation of regulation—Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000, s 7(1).

### **ROAD ACCIDENT FUND v MOHOHLO (SCA)**

LEACH JA, MEYER AJA, MOKGOHLOA AJA, MAKGOKA AJA and ROGERS AJA 2017 NOVEMBER 24 [2017] ZASCA 155

**Delict**—Specific forms—Loss of support—Dependant's action—Extension—De facto adoption— Claim for loss of support by deceased's aunt—Legal convictions of community calling for recognition of duty of support.

### STEDALL AND ANOTHER v ASPELING AND ANOTHER (SCA)

CACHALIA JA, LEACH JA, PETSE JA, MOCUMIE JA and PLOOS VAN AMSTEL AJA 2017 DECEMBER 1 [2017] ZASCA 172

**Delict**—Elements—Unlawfulness or wrongfulness—Homeowner's failure to secure pool gate— Visiting child, momentarily unsupervised by its mother, falling into pool.

#### VAN DER WESTHUIZEN v BURGER (SCA)

PONNAN JA, MAJIEDT JA, SWAIN JA, MOKGOHLOA AJA and MBATHA AJA 2017 DECEMBER 1 [2017] ZASCA 178

Animals—Wild animal—Actio de feris—Defences—Provocation.

# PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA v PUBLIC PROTECTOR AND OTHERS (GP)

MLAMBO J, BORUCHOWITZ J and HUGHES J 2017 DECEMBER 13

**Constitutional law**—Chapter 9 institutions—Public Protector—Powers—To direct President, via remedial action, to appoint commission of inquiry into allegations of state capture—Lawfulness and rationality—Constitution, s 182.

**Constitutional law**—Chapter 9 institutions—Public Protector—Powers—Investigation—May direct other organs of state to conduct further investigations.

**Costs**—Costs *de bonis propriis*—When to be awarded—Against President—President's illadvised and reckless litigation against Public Protector resulting in unjustifiable delay of appointment of commission of inquiry into state capture—President's conduct falling short of constitutional norms and what is expected of head of state—Court making costs order de bonis propriis against President.

**President**—Powers—To appoint commission of inquiry—Like all presidential powers, constrained by Constitution and principle of legality—Power to appoint commission of inquiry curtailed where in conflict with such constraints—Constitution, s 84(2)(*f*).

**President**—Conduct—Involvement in 'state capture'—Public Protector's report revealing prima facie case of serious misconduct and impropriety on part of President and others implicated in alleged state capture—Constituting appropriate basis for remedial action directing President to appoint commission of inquiry—Court directing President to comply.

### SOUTH AFRICAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION v QWELANE (GJ)

MOSHIDI J 2017 AUGUST 18 (EQ 44/2009)

**Constitutional law**—Human rights—Right to freedom of expression—Hate speech— Comprising speech instilling detestation, enmity, ill will and malevolence—Statement comparing homosexuality to bestiality qualifying.

**Equality legislation**—Hate speech—Homosexual conduct compared to bestiality—Statement constituting invitation to join in homophobia and having no constitutional value—Amounting to hate speech.

**Equality legislation**—Constitutionality—Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act 4 of 2000—Overbreadth and vagueness challenges dismissed.

#### AFRIFORUM AND ANOTHER v UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE (CC)

MOGOENG CJ, NKABINDE ADCJ, CAMERON J, FRONEMAN J, JAFTA J, KHAMPEPE J, MADLANGA J, MHLANTLA J, MOJAPELO AJ, PRETORIUS AJ and ZONDO J 2017 DECEMBER 29 [2017] ZACC 48

**Education**—University—Language policy—Historically Afrikaans university's decision to replace dual Afrikaans/English language policy with Englishonly policy—Valid in light of racially discriminatory effects of dual-language policy—Constitution, s 29(2).

### FISCHER v UNLAWFUL OCCUPIERS AND OTHERS (WCC)

FORTUIN J 2017 AUGUST 30

**Constitutional law**—Human rights—Socioeconomic rights—Right to adequate housing—Duty of local authority to shelter evicted persons—Emergency housing—Local authority's failure to invoke available remedies and policies violating landowners' constitutional rights to property and unlawful occupiers' right to housing—Appropriate remedy—Whether ordering buyout or expropriation of unlawfully occupied land appropriate remedy—Constitution, ss 25 and 26; Housing Act 107 of 1997, s 9(3).

# UNITED MANGANESE OF KALAHARI (PTY) LTD v COMMISSIONER, SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (GP)

MEYER J 2016 OCTOBER 3

**Minerals and petroleum**—Mines—Taxation—Royalty payable by 'extractor' on 'transfer' of 'unrefined mineral resource'—Gross sales of unrefined mineral resource—What constitutes— Correct interpretation of Mineral and Petroleum Resources Royalty Act 28 of 2008, s 6(3)(*b*).

#### MVOKO v SOUTH AFRICAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION SOC LTD (SCA)

NAVSA ADP, MATHOPO JA, MOKGOHLOA AJA, TSOKA AJA and FOURIE AJA 2017 SEPTEMBER 29 [2017] ZASCA 139

**Media**—Broadcasting—Television—SABC—Independent contractor—Contractor writing newspaper article alluding to third parties' influence on SABC's broadcasting decisions—SABC suspending contractor's services—Whether contractual basis to do so—Constitutional and statutory duties of national broadcaster—Constitution, s 195; Broadcasting Act 4 of 1999, ss 3(5), 6(4), 6(8) and 10(1)(d).

### MINISTER OF DEFENCE AND MILITARY VETERANS AND ANOTHER v MAMASEDI (SCA)

PONNAN JA, MAJIEDT JA, PLASKET AJA, MBATHA AJA and SCHIPPERS AJA 2017 NOVEMBER 24 [2017] ZASCA 157

**Defence force**—Member—Absence—Deemed dismissal—Enquiry by board, recommendation and decision to not reinstate by Chief of Defence Force—Review for failure of procedural fairness—Defence Act 42 of 2002, ss 59(3), 101(1) and 102.

# MOHAMED'S LEISURE HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD v SOUTHERN SUN HOTEL INTERESTS (PTY) LTD (SCA)

SHONGWE AP, WILLIS JA, MATHOPO JA, MEYER AJA and PLOOS VAN AMSTEL AJA 2017 DECEMBER 1 [2017] ZASCA 176

**Contract**—Enforceability—Lease's breach clause—Clause allowing lessor, on lessee's breach, to cancel agreement and repossess property—Whether implementation of clause would be contrary to public policy—Breach owing to lessee's bank paying rental into wrong account.

## SOUTH AFRICAN CRIMINAL LAW REPORTS

### **MARCH 2018**

### TABLE OF CASES

- S v De Beer 2018 (1) SACR 229 (SCA)
- KS v AM 2018 (1) SACR 240 (GJ)
- Maharaj and Others v Mandag Centre of Investigative Journalism NPC and Others 2018 (1) SACR 253 (SCA)
- S v Msimango 2018 (1) SACR 276 (SCA)
- S v Phillips 2018 (1) SACR 284 (WCC)
- S v Phakane 2018 (1) SACR 300 (CC)
- Corruption Watch (RF) NPC and Another v President of the Republic of South Africa and Others 2018 (1) SACR 317 (GP)

## **FLYNOTES**

### S v DE BEER (SCA)

CACHALIA JA, BOSIELO JA, TSOKA AJA, PLOOS VAN AMSTEL AJA and ROGERS AJA 2017 NOVEMBER 2, 5 [2017] ZASCA 183

**Appeal**—Powers of court on appeal—Appeal against conviction—Power to increase sentence in event of appeal against conviction failing—Court having such power.

**Rape**—Sentence—Life imprisonment—When appropriate—Offence amounting to what would previously have been regarded as indecent assault—Offence perpetrated on young child—Incarceration required but life imprisonment plainly disproportionate—Sentence of 15 years' imprisonment of which five years suspended, reinstated.

### KS v AM (GJ)

MOLALEHI J and VUMA J 2017 AUGUST 22; OCTOBER 24

**Domestic violence**—Protection order—What can be ordered—Seizure of respondent's digital equipment to remove sensitive and intimate material that offended applicant's rights to privacy and dignity—Although wording of s 7(2) of Domestic Violence Act 116 of 1998 not specifically empowering court to grant such order, court obliged to fashion relief that would adequately address problem.

## MAHARAJ AND OTHERS v MANDAG CENTRE OF INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM NPC AND OTHERS (SCA)

PONNAN JA, PETSE JA, TSOKA AJA, MBATHA AJA and SCHIPPERS AJA 2017 SEPTEMBER 29 [2017] ZASCA 138

**Prosecuting authority**—National Director of Public Prosecutions—Discretion to permit or refuse disclosure of record of evidence given at investigation under s 28 of NPA Act—Proper exercise of—National Prosecuting Authority Act 32 of 1998, s 41(6)(*c*).

**Fundamental rights**—Right to freedom of expression—Freedom of press and other media— Prohibition on disclosure, without permission of National Director of Public Prosecutions, of record of evidence given at investigation under s 28 of NPA Act—Proper exercise of NDPP's discretion—Discretion to be exercised on case-by-case basis, weighing up public interest against likelihood of harm—Failure to consider s 28 record rendering decision to refuse permission irrational—National Prosecuting Authority Act 32 of 1998, s 41(6)(*c*).

#### S v MSIMANGO (SCA)

CACHALIA JA, BOSIELO JA, TSOKA AJA, PLOOS VAN AMSTEL AJA and ROGERS AJA 2017 NOVEMBER 2; DECEMBER 1 [2017] ZASCA 181

**General principles of liability**—Common purpose—Essential for averment in charge-sheet that state relying on common purpose—Reliance on common purpose in absence of such averment inimical to notion of right to fair trial.

S v PHILLIPS (WCC) GAMBLE J 2017 OCTOBER 23; NOVEMBER 7

**Plea**—Plea bargain—Plea agreement in terms of s 105A of Criminal Procedure Act 51 of 1977—No formal agreement having been concluded or approved by Director of Public Prosecutions—Accused attempting to rely on agreements made during negotiations—Policy considerations militating against accused being allowed to pick and choose which parts of agreement he wanted to enforce.

### S v PHAKANE (CC)

NKABINDE ADCJ, CAMERON J, FRONEMAN J, JAFTA J, KHAMPEPE J, MBHA AJ, MUSI AJ, MADLANGA J, MHLANTLA J and ZONDO J 2017 DECEMBER 5 [2017] ZACC 44

**Appeal**—Record—Lost, destroyed or incomplete—Effect of on appeal—Transcript of evidence of crucial witness not on record and unclear whether conflict between police statement and evidence in court ever put to witness or clarified—No indication in judgment of this—Record not adequate for proper assessment of appeal—Proceedings set aside.

# CORRUPTION WATCH (RF) NPC AND ANOTHER v PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA AND OTHERS (GP)

MLAMBO JP, RANCHOD J and VAN DER LINDE J 2017 NOVEMBER 20, 21; DECEMBER 8

**Prosecution**—National Director of Public Prosecutions—Appointment and removal from office of—Request by NDPP to vacate office—What constitutes such request—Required to emanate from NDPP and not from persuasion by payment of greater sum of money than permitted by law.

**Prosecution**—National Director of Public Prosecutions—Appointment and removal from office of—Effect of setting-aside of settlement agreement by means of which former NDPP left office on appointment of successor where President conflicted—Provisions of s 90 of National Prosecuting Authority Act 32 of 1998 applied and Deputy President to make appointment.

**Prosecution**—National Director of Public Prosecutions—Appointment and suspension of— Extension of term of office of NDPP in terms of s 12(4) of National Prosecuting Authority Act 32 of 1998—Open-ended discretion by President to extend 10-year term unconstitutional and invalid.

**Prosecution**—National Director of Public Prosecutions—Appointment and suspension of— Suspension from office of NDPP in terms of s 12(6) of National Prosecuting Authority Act 32 of 1998—Open-ended discretion by President to suspend NDPP without pay for indefinite period unconstitutional and invalid.

## **BOTSWANA LAW REPORTS**

## 2014(2)

### **TABLE OF CASES**

- Adamson and Another v First National Bank of Botswana and Others [2014] 2 BLR 78 (HC)
- Arab Contractors (Pty) Ltd v Maokaneng [2014] 2 BLR 255 (CA)
- Attorney-General and Others, Machilidza v [2014] 2 BLR 336 (HC)
- Attorney-General and Others, Nthoyiwa v [2014] 2 BLR 567 (HC)
- Attorney-General and Others, Ntsholetsang and Another v [2014] 2 BLR 299 (HC)
- Attorney-General and Others, Tapela and Others v [2014] 2 BLR 353 (HC)
- Attorney-General v Botswana Landboards and Local Authorities Workers' Union and Others [2014] 2 BLR 68 (CA)
- Attorney-General, Baatweng v [2014] 2 BLR 407 (HC)
- Attorney-General, Dubane v [2014] 2 BLR 250 (CA)
- Attorney-General, Modumo v [2014] 2 BLR 320 (HC)
- Attorney-General, Ron's Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 121 (HC)
- Baatweng v Attorney-General [2014] 2 BLR 407 (HC)
- Baleseng and Others, Molema v [2014] 2 BLR 584 (HC)
- Bank Gaborone Ltd, Thari v [2014] 2 BLR 131 (HC)
- Boko and Others, Mhale v [2014] 2 BLR 134 (CA)
- Bontshetse v Botswana National Front and Others [2014] 2 BLR 530 (HC)
- Botsie v The State [2014] 2 BLR 218 (CA)
- Botswana Democratic Party and Another v Marobela [2014] 2 BLR 227 (CA)
- Botswana Diamond Workers' Union v Diamond Trading Company Botswana (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 83 (HC)
- Botswana Landboards and Local Authorities Workers' Union and Others, Attorney-General v [2014] 2 BLR 68 (CA)
- Botswana Meat Commission Football Club v Botswana Meat Commission [2014] 2 BLR 293 (CA)
- Botswana Meat Commission, Botswana Meat Commission Football Club v [2014] 2 BLR 293 (CA)

- Botswana National Front and Others, Bontshetse v [2014] 2 BLR 530 (HC)
- Botswana Power Corporation, Galetshoge v [2014] 2 BLR 430 (HC)
- Botswana Power Corporation, Helmand v [2014] 2 BLR 244 (CA)
- Botswana Railways, Giddie v [2014] 2 BLR 20 (IC)
- Botswana Savings Bank v Mmereki [2014] 2 BLR 427 (HC)
- Botswitz (Pty) Ltd v Kgwarae and Another [2014] 2 BLR 96 (HC)
- Camusat Botswana (Pty) Ltd; In Re: Janse Van Rensburg v Camusat Botswana (Pty) Ltd, Janse Van Rensburg v [2014] 2 BLR 454 (HC)
- Chicken Zone (Pty) Ltd and Another, Master Farmer Feeds (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 449 (HC)
- Chobe District Council, Pule v [2014] 2 BLR 587 (HC)
- Chobe Land Board and Others; Rammika v Nocolaou and Another; Matshai Game Farm v Ngwato Land Board; Ngwato Land Board v Isaac, Kwerepe v [2014] 2 BLR 542 (HC)
- Diamond Trading Company Botswana (Pty) Ltd, Botswana Diamond Workers' Union v [2014] 2 BLR 83 (HC)
- Director of Public Service Management and Others, Ranko v [2014] 2 BLR 464 (HC)
- Directorate of Public Prosecutions, Masole v [2014] 2 BLR 340 (HC)
- Ditiro v Trojan Security [2014] 2 BLR 63 (IC)
- Dlamini v The State [2014] 2 BLR 201 (CA)
- Dubane v Attorney-General [2014] 2 BLR 250 (CA)
- Dube v The State [2014] 2 BLR 205 (CA)
- First National Bank of Botswana and Others, Adamson and Another v [2014] 2 BLR 78 (HC)
- Gaetsaloe, Molefhi v [2014] 2 BLR 100 (HC)
- Galetshoge v Botswana Power Corporation [2014] 2 BLR 430 (HC)
- Ghanzi Land Board v Mahupu [2014] 2 BLR 328 (HC)
- Giddie v Botswana Railways [2014] 2 BLR 20 (IC)
- Helmand v Botswana Power Corporation [2014] 2 BLR 244 (CA)
- Hirschfield, Molatedi v [2014] 2 BLR 396 (HC)
- Hurvits Group (Pty) Ltd, Ultimex Holdings (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 498 (HC)
- Jago Productions (Pty) Ltd and Another v Nandos Holdings Botswana (Pty) Ltd and Another; In Re: Nandos Holdings Botswana (Pty) Ltd v Gampone [2014] 2 BLR 1 (HC)
- Jaka, Monageng v [2014] 2 BLR 478 (HC)
- Janse Van Rensburg v Camusat Botswana (Pty) Ltd; In Re: Janse Van Rensburg v Camusat Botswana (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 454 (HC)
- Jarona v The State [2014] 2 BLR 196 (CA)
- Joseph v Mokgolodi [2014] 2 BLR 411 (HC)
- Kagiso Centre (Pty) Ltd v Superfit Gym and Wellness Centre (Pty) Ltd and Another [2014]
  2 BLR 74 (HC)
- Kazadi v Southern African Development Community [2014] 2 BLR 536 (HC)
- Keaitse and Another, State v [2014] 2 BLR 91 (HC)
- Kealeboga and Another v Kehumile and Another [2014] 2 BLR 259 (CA)
- Kehumile and Another, Kealeboga and Another v [2014] 2 BLR 259 (CA)
- Kenalemongwe v The State [2014] 2 BLR 594 (CA)
- Kgatleng Land Board and Another, Moloi v [2014] 2 BLR 547 (HC)
- Kgori v The State [2014] 2 BLR 183 (CA)
- Kgwarae and Another, Botswitz (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 96 (HC)
- Kobedi, State v [2014] 2 BLR 572 (HC)
- Koontse v Ramahobo [2014] 2 BLR 59 (HC)
- Kwadibana and Others, Matsheledi and Another v [2014] 2 BLR 273 (CA)
- Kwerepe v Chobe Land Board and Others; Rammika v Nocolaou and Another; Matshai
- Game Farm v Ngwato Land Board; Ngwato Land Board v Isaac [2014] 2 BLR 542 (HC) Law Society of Botswana v Seloko [2014] 2 BLR 550 (HC)
- Lempehu Investments (Pty) Ltd v Msutse (Pty) Ltd and Another; In Re: Msutse (Pty) Ltd v Lempehu Investments (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 153 (HC)
- Lenah, Zhong Gan Engineering (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 422 (HC)

- Letsebe v Letsebe [2014] 2 BLR 284 (CA)
- Letsebe, Letsebe v [2014] 2 BLR 284 (CÁ)
- Linda's Holdings (Pty) Ltd t/a Fones4u v Mascom Wireless Botswana (Pty) Ltd; In Re: Linda's Holdings (Pty) Ltd t/a Fones4u v Mascom Wireless Botswana (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 240 (CA)
- Machilidza v Attorney-General and Others [2014] 2 BLR 336 (HC)
- Madembo t/a Tafa & Co v Monu Builders (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 316 (HC)
- Madimabe v Water Utilities Corporation [2014] 2 BLR 115 (IC)
- Mahupu, Ghanzi Land Board v [2014] 2 BLR 328 (HC)
- Maokaneng, Arab Contractors (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 255 (CA)
- Marobela, Botswana Democratic Party and Another v [2014] 2 BLR 227 (CA)
- Mascom Wireless Botswana (Pty) Ltd; In Re: Linda's Holdings (Pty) Ltd t/a Fones4u v Mascom Wireless Botswana (Pty) Ltd, Linda's Holdings (Pty) Ltd t/a Fones4u v [2014] 2 BLR 240 (CA)
- Masole v Directorate of Public Prosecutions [2014] 2 BLR 340 (HC)
- Master Farmer Feeds (Pty) Ltd v Chicken Zone (Pty) Ltd and Another [2014] 2 BLR 449 (HC)
- Matlho v The State [2014] 2 BLR 169 (CA)
- Matsheledi and Another v Kwadibana and Others [2014] 2 BLR 273 (CA)
- Medupe v Temo Holdings (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 578 (HC)
- Mhale v Boko and Others [2014] 2 BLR 134 (CA)
- Mmereki, Botswana Savings Bank v [2014] 2 BLR 427 (HC)
- Mmopa v Mmopa [2014] 2 BLR 483 (HC)
- Mmopa, Mmopa v [2014] 2 BLR 483 (HC)
- Moakofi v The State [2014] 2 BLR 489 (HC)
- Modumo v Attorney-General [2014] 2 BLR 320 (HC)
- Moeng, Regent Insurance Botswana (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 417 (HC)
- Moeti, Monare v [2014] 2 BLR 55 (HC)
- Mokgolodi, Joseph v [2014] 2 BLR 411 (HC)
- Molapisi v The State [2014] 2 BLR 436 (HC)
- Molatedi v Hirschfield [2014] 2 BLR 396 (HC)
- Molefhi v Gaetsaloe [2014] 2 BLR 100 (HC)
- Molema v Baleseng and Others [2014] 2 BLR 584 (HC)
- Moloi v Kgatleng Land Board and Another [2014] 2 BLR 547 (HC)
- Monageng v Jaka [2014] 2 BLR 478 (HC)
- Monare v Moeti [2014] 2 BLR 55 (HC)
- Monu Builders (Pty) Ltd, Madembo t/a Tafa & Co v [2014] 2 BLR 316 (HC)
- Moruisi and Another v Thite [2014] 2 BLR 382 (HC)
- Mosweu v The State [2014] 2 BLR 179 (CA)
- Motlhabi, Wesi v [2014] 2 BLR 48 (HC)
- Motshelanoka v The State [2014] 2 BLR 388 (HC)
- Mpheretlhe v The State [2014] 2 BLR 189 (CA)
- Msutse (Pty) Ltd and Another; In Re: Msutse (Pty) Ltd v Lempehu Investments (Pty) Ltd, Lempehu Investments (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 153 (HC)
- Mugabe v The State [2014] 2 BLR 224 (CA)
- Muzila v The State [2014] 2 BLR 608 (CA)
- Nandos Holdings Botswana (Pty) Ltd and Another; In Re: Nandos Holdings Botswana (Pty) Ltd v Gampone, Jago Productions (Pty) Ltd and Another v [2014] 2 BLR 1 (HC)
- Nare v The State [2014] 2 BLR 176 (CA)
- Nthoyiwa v Attorney-General and Others [2014] 2 BLR 567 (HC)
- Ntsholetsang and Another v Attorney-General and Others [2014] 2 BLR 299 (HC)
- Okaile; In Re: Okaile v Tshanana, Tshanana v [2014] 2 BLR 473 (HC)
- Paledi (Neé Ndamase) v Paledi and Another; In Re: Paledi v Paledi (Neé Ndamase) [2014]
  2 BLR 343 (HC)
- Paledi and Another; In Re: Paledi v Paledi (Neé Ndamase), Paledi (Neé Ndamase) v [2014] 2 BLR 343 (HC)

- Prevailing Security (Pty) Ltd v Unlimited Security Dogs (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 441 (HC)
- Proneberg Holdings (Pty) Ltd v Scania Finance Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 160 (HC)
- Pule v Chobe District Council [2014] 2 BLR 587 (HC)
- Ramahobo, Koontse v [2014] 2 BLR 59 (HC)
- Ramanganeng v The State [2014] 2 BLR 604 (CA)
- Ranko v Director of Public Service Management and Others [2014] 2 BLR 464 (HC)
- Regent Insurance Botswana (Pty) Ltd v Moeng [2014] 2 BLR 417 (HC)
- Ron's Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd v Attorney-General [2014] 2 BLR 121 (HC)
- Scania Finance Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd, Proneberg Holdings (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 160 (HC)
- Sebina and Others, State v [2014] 2 BLR 9 (HC)
- Sebola v Standard Chartered Bank of Botswana Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 446 (HC)
- Seloko, Law Society of Botswana v [2014] 2 BLR 550 (HC)
- Simane v The State [2014] 2 BLR 598 (CA)
- Southern African Development Community, Kazadi v [2014] 2 BLR 536 (HC)
- Standard Chartered Bank of Botswana Ltd, Sebola v [2014] 2 BLR 446 (HC)
- State v Keaitse and Another [2014] 2 BLR 91 (HC)
- State v Kobedi [2014] 2 BLR 572 (HC)
- State v Sebina and Others [2014] 2 BLR 9 (HC)
- State v Supang [2014] 2 BLR 37 (HC)
- Supang, State v [2014] 2 BLR 37 (HC)
- Superfit Gym and Wellness Centre (Pty) Ltd and Another, Kagiso Centre (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 74 (HC)
- Surveying Services Botswana (Pty) Ltd and Another, Vanqa v [2014] 2 BLR 557 (HC)
- Tapela and Others v Attorney-General and Others [2014] 2 BLR 353 (HC)
- Team Engineers, Tsienyane v [2014] 2 BLR 367 (IC)
- Temo Holdings (Pty) Ltd, Medupe v [2014] 2 BLR 578 (HC)
- Thari v Bank Gaborone Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 131 (HC)
- The State, Botsie v [2014] 2 BLR 218 (CA)
- The State, Dlamini v [2014] 2 BLR 201 (CA)
- The State, Dube v [2014] 2 BLR 205 (CA)
- The State, Jarona v [2014] 2 BLR 196 (CA)
- The State, Kenalemongwe v [2014] 2 BLR 594 (CA)
- The State, Kgori v [2014] 2 BLR 183 (CA)
- The State, Matlho v [2014] 2 BLR 169 (CA)
- The State, Moakofi v [2014] 2 BLR 489 (HC)
- The State, Molapisi v [2014] 2 BLR 436 (HC)
- The State, Mosweu v [2014] 2 BLR 179 (CA)
- The State, Motshelanoka v [2014] 2 BLR 388 (HC)
- The State, Mpheretlhe v [2014] 2 BLR 189 (CA)
- The State, Mugabe v [2014] 2 BLR 224 (CA)
- The State, Muzila v [2014] 2 BLR 608 (CA)
- The State, Nare v [2014] 2 BLR 176 (CA)
- The State, Ramanganeng v [2014] 2 BLR 604 (CA)
- The State, Simane v [2014] 2 BLR 598 (CA)
- Thiite Rubble Screeners (Pty) Ltd and Another, Truckhire (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 32 (HC)
- Thite, Moruisi and Another v [2014] 2 BLR 382 (HC)
- Trojan Security, Ditiro v [2014] 2 BLR 63 (IC)
- Truckhire (Pty) Ltd v Thiite Rubble Screeners (Pty) Ltd and Another [2014] 2 BLR 32 (HC)
- Tshanana v Okaile; In Re: Okaile v Tshanana [2014] 2 BLR 473 (HC)
- Tsienyane v Team Engineers [2014] 2 BLR 367 (IC)
- Ultimex Holdings (Pty) Ltd v Hurvits Group (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 498 (HC)
- Unik Construction Engineering (Pty) Ltd, Zang Pee & Sons (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 146 (HC)
- Unlimited Security Dogs (Pty) Ltd, Prevailing Security (Pty) Ltd v [2014] 2 BLR 441 (HC)

- Vanga v Surveying Services Botswana (Pty) Ltd and Another [2014] 2 BLR 557 (HC) ٠
- Water Utilities Corporation, Madimabe v [2014] 2 BLR 115 (IC) Wesi v Motlhabi [2014] 2 BLR 48 (HC) •
- •
- Zang Pee & Sons (Pty) Ltd v Unik Construction Engineering (Pty) Ltd [2014] 2 BLR 146 • (HC)
- Zhong Gan Engineering (Pty) Ltd v Lenah [2014] 2 BLR 422 (HC) •